

POL006_Assessment Policy

Table of Contents

1. Scope.....	3
2. Purpose	3
3. Assessments	3
3.1 Assessment Resources.....	4
3.2 Types of Assessments and how they are conducted	4
3.3 Students code of conduct for Assessments:.....	4
3.4 Assessment Outcome and Record Keeping:	5
3.5 Assessment validation & moderation	6
3.6 Guidelines for Dealing with Lost Assessment Items	6
4. Requirements for all Trainer and Assessors:	6
5. Conducting of Assessments.....	7
5.1 Reasonable Adjustment	8
5.2 Re-assessment:.....	8
5.3 Students at Risk:	9
5.4 Assessment Review & Appeals	10
6. Complaints and Appeals.....	10
7. Associated Documents	11
Appendix.....	11

1. Scope

Danford College is committed to ensuring fair and valid assessment policies and procedures are in practice. Danford College ensures that all assessments of the unit of competencies on the scope of registration comply with the current and relevant training packages and are consistent with the SRTO2015 standards and the ESOS ACT 2018.

The assessment policy is governed by:

- Standards for RTOs 2015: Standard 1 (Clause: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.7, 1.8); Standard 2 (Clause: 2.1, 2.2(a), 2.4)
- ESOS National Code 2018: Standard 8 (Clause 8.9.1 – 8.9.5)

The Assessment policy is applicable to all the students and relevant staff members of Danford College which includes but not limited to Academic Team Leaders, Trainers and Assessor, Course Progress Officer.

2. Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to establish a comprehensive and standardized process for assessments of all the units of competencies. The policy aims to achieve the following objectives:

- Clearly outline the types of assessments conducted and the re-assessment process
- Describe the resources utilised to develop the assessment strategies
- Clearly define Trainer/Assessor obligations and student obligations
- Outline the students' right to review and appeal assessment outcomes.

3. Assessments

Assessment is the process of forming a judgment on the quality and extent of student competencies and abilities, and therefore by inference, a judgment about the learning itself.

All students are provided with a Training Plan prior to the course commencement. The Training Plan consists of all the unit of competency (s) the student will undertake in the enrolled course. At Danford College a student must:

- be assessed using the approved assessment tools identified in the elements of the unit;
- demonstrate they are capable of performing these tasks to an acceptable level.

Through the above process, the student must demonstrate they hold all of the required skills and knowledge specified in the unit to achieve competency in the unit.

3.1 Assessment Resources

Assessment resources are developed as outlined in the POL028_Learning & Assessment Strategies Policy. Danford College utilises a set of developed assessment tools for each unit/module. These are the resources used by the Trainer/Assessors to identify and record the skills and knowledge the learners must demonstrate to be deemed competent in a unit/module.

Assessment tools consists of the following but not limited to:

- Instructions for learners;
- Instructions for Assessors;
- Assessment instruments can be such as but not limited to Knowledge Assessments, Tasks, Projects, Written Assessments;
- Assessment checklists;
- Assessment outcome Summary
- Assessment Mapping Documents
- Assessment Marking Guides.

3.2 Types of Assessments and how they are conducted

For every unit of competency (s) assessment will be conducted as outlined in the Learning and Assessment Strategy. This may include but not limited to Knowledge Assessments, Tasks, Projects and Written Assessments.

For the units which consists of Knowledge Assessments, all the Knowledge assessments are completed in a face-to-face mode in the classroom in the presence of the Trainer/Assessor. All the Knowledge Assessments are open book and students are permitted to use resources such as dictionaries, electronic devices like laptops, ipads and tablets, calculators, textbooks, reference books, and/or student notes. The Trainer/Assessor will ensure that all student/s display proper and effective conduct whilst completing Knowledge Assessments.

For the assessments which require practical applications, students will be provided with simulated assessments where they are required to demonstrate practical application of the knowledge. The students are required to work on these assessment as guided by the Trainer/Assessor and the assessments are submitted using the online learning management portal Canvas. Students are advised to submit the assessments in .docs or .pdf format as Canvas may not support all file formats and documents larger than 5 GB.

Students will be charged for Digital Access Fees collectively for all the digital platforms the students access during their enrolment of the course including but not limited to Canvas, Turnitin, RTO Manager. For more information please refer to the POL012_Student fees and charges policy

3.3 Students code of conduct for Assessments:

Danford College takes all measures to ensure students maintain academic integrity of the assessments conducted. Assessments submitted via Canvas, are checked for plagiarism using Turnitin check.

If a Trainer/Assessor detects plagiarism or cheating when the student is undertaking the assessment and/or while the Trainer/Assessor is assessing the assessment, the Trainer/Assessor may take one or more of the below actions depending on the severity of the situation:

- Give a verbal warning to the student and record it on the student diary in RTO Manager
- Provide a written warning by email and log it in the student diary in RTO Manager
- Inform the Academic Team Leader or delegate regarding the incidence where it is out of scope for the Trainer/Assessor

When a written warning is issued to the student either by the Trainer/Assessor and/or Academic Team Leader, it should be logged in the Plagiarism register maintained by the Academic Department. For more information refer to POL015_Plagiarism Policy

3.4 Assessment Outcome and Record Keeping:

A student must be satisfactory in all the assessments conducted in a unit of competency to receive an overall Competence in the unit.

Awarding Competency:

Student results for assessed units of competency will be recorded using the following codes:

C = Competent

NYC = Not Yet Competent

Students will be notified of the results of the unit of competency within 10 working days after the submission of assessment by the Trainer/Assessor via email. A constructive feedback will be provided in each of the assessment. Where a student does not achieve competency in the unit, the student will be provided with opportunity to resubmit their assessment as outlined in the section 5.2 of this policy.

All the results of unit of competency are recorded on the RTO Manager by the course progress officer and Canvas by the Trainer/Assessor

All the assessment records including the knowledge assessments, Canvas results and any other documents related to the results are retained for 6 months after the completion and/or cancelation of the enrolment of the student in the course.

3.5 Assessment validation & moderation

Danford College systematically implements ongoing validation & moderation of assessment practices in each course to evaluate quality and drive continuous improvement. The validation and moderation are conducted as outlined in the POL002_Validation & Moderation Policy.

3.6 Guidelines for Dealing with Lost Assessment Items

All Academic Staff members are responsible for handling, storing and maintaining student assessments both online and hard copies. In an instance, where the assessment is misplaced or missing, the Trainer/Assessor should report to the Academic Team Leader or delegate.

All students are advised during the Orientation and Induction program that it is the student's responsibility to retain a copy of their assessments.

Where there are reasonable grounds to believe that the assessment was submitted by the student within the due date and the assessment is misplaced, the Academic Team Leader or delegate will take appropriate remedial action, one of which could be giving an opportunity to re-submit the assessment at no cost to the student.

In situation where, the student believes that the assessment was submitted within the due date and is not happy with the remedial action, the student may choose to access Danford College's complaints and appeals process at no cost.

Note: No student can be deemed competent without evidence.

4. Requirements for all Trainer and Assessors:

In accordance with the SRT0 Standard 1 and Schedule 1, all Trainer/Assessor at Danford College have all of the below:

- The vocational competencies at least to the level delivered and assessed;
- Current industry skills directly relevant to the training and assessment being provided;
- Current knowledge and skills in vocational training and learning that informs their training and assessment;
- Certificate IV in Training and Assessment (TAE40110 or TAE0116), or its successor (TAE40122); or a diploma or higher-level qualification in adult education.

Danford College ensures that all trainer/assessor undertake professional development in the fields of:

Approved by: Vikas Wadhwa Approved Date: 31 May 2024 Created by: Neha Patil POL006 -
Assessment Policy Doc #: DOC13587 Next Review: 31 May 2025 Revision: 1.0 Revision Date: 31 May 2024

- Knowledge and practice of vocational training, and
- Learning and assessment, including competency – based training and assessment.

5. Conducting of Assessments

All the assessments conducted at Danford College are consistent with the elements and performance criteria as defined in the training package by training.gov.au Information regarding the consistency can be found in the mapping documents of every unit of competency (s).

All the assessments taken by students are evaluated based on the Principle of Assessment and Rules of Evidence by the Assessors at Danford College as outlined below:

Principles of Assessment:

Validity	Assessors need to be assured that the student has the skills, knowledge and attributes as described in the learning outcomes and performance criteria of the unit of competency.
Reliability	The criteria for judgement of competence is clearly stated in accordance with the assessment requirements. These criteria are monitored and reviewed to ensure the consistency in the assessment judgement is maintained.
Fairness	The assessors ensure the assessment practices and methods are fair and equitable to all the applicants. Assessment procedures and the criteria for evaluating performance are made clear to all the students. The students must be made aware about their rights to appeal the outcome.
Flexibility	The assessment evaluation should provide multiple opportunities for students to demonstrate the skills and knowledge.

Rules of evidence:

Validity	Assessors need to be assured that the student has the skills, knowledge and attributes as described in the learning outcomes and performance criteria of the unit of competency.
Sufficiency	Assessor must be assured that the quality, quantity and relevance of the assessment evidence enables a judgement to be made of a student's competency. Assessors will provide guidance to the students to meet this assessment criteria.
Authenticity	Assessor must be assured that the evidence presented for the assessment is the student's own work. This may be verified by evaluating the plagiarism as outlined in the Plagiarism Policy and getting the students' declaration while submitting the assessment.
Currency	Assessor must be assured that the assessment evidence demonstrates

current competency.

5.1 Reasonable Adjustment

Danford College has policies that include reasonable adjustment and access and equity principles. Reasonable adjustment will be made for students with special learning needs (such as a disability or learning difficulty) according to the nature of the learning need.

Evidence collection for the assessment can be adjusted to suit individual student needs if required and will be endorsed by the Academic Team Leader or (delegate).

Reasonable adjustments are made to ensure that the student is not restricted in achieving competency in any unit. Reasonable adjustments may include the use of adaptive technology, educational support, and alternative methods of assessment such as oral assessment.

Students are advised to inform Danford College of any disability and special requirements at the time of application to enrol and/or prior to the commencement of the course. The student may also do so by sending an email to support@danford.edu.au

The learning need identified from this information will form the basis of any adjustment to the training program and assessment strategies. Any adjustments will be recorded in the student file and will not compromise the competency standard.

Student may also inform the College regarding any disability or requirement of reasonable adjustment during their course of study under unforeseen circumstances. For further information refer to POL020_Student Disability Provision Policy.

5.2 Re-assessment:

Danford College will allow all the students with 3 attempts for the assessments in each unit of competency, i.e. the original assessment and 2 Re-assessments as outlined below:

1	Original Assessment	First Attempt – for all students	Due Date as per the training plan
2	1 st Re-assessment	Second Attempt – for students who missed submission in the original assessment due date	Due Date – 10 working days from the original assessment due date
3	Extended 1 st Re-assessment	Second Attempt – for students who submitted in the original assessment submission due date and are deemed NYC	Due Date – 20 working days from the original assessment due date
4	2 nd Re-assessment	Third Attempt – for students who are deemed NYC after the above 2 attempts or	Due Date – 10 working days from the 1 st Re-

Approved by: Vikas Wadhwa Approved Date: 31 May 2024 Created by: Neha Patil POL006 -
Assessment Policy Doc #: DOC13587 Next Review: 31 May 2025 Revision: 1.0 Revision Date: 31 May 2024

	<i>For more information refer to the 2nd Re-assessment application process</i>	have missed the submissions in the above 2 attempts	assessment due date or otherwise as advised by the Academic Team Leaders
If the student is deemed NYC after exhausting the above 3 attempts and if the student wishes to achieve competency, the student must re-enrol in the unit of competency after completing the current enrolment in the course.			

The Trainer/Assessor will inform all the students regarding the attempts and the relevant due dates via email and it will be logged in the student diary on RTO Manager.

2nd Re-assessment Process:

A student who is required to undertake 2nd Re-assessment will need to complete the Request for Re-assessment form provided by the Trainer/Assessor. The student must provide a compelling and compassionate reason and provide relevant evidence while requesting for the re-assessment

The re-assessment request will be evaluated by the Academic Team Leader or (delegate) and will be either approved or refused. If the student did not provide a compelling and compassionate reason and/or relevant evidence, the Academic Team Leader may decide for the student be charged with a re-assessment fee of \$200.

Note: The 2nd Re-assessment application may be refused by the Academic Team Leader or delegate if the Student has any outstanding tuition fees.

Assessment Extensions:

Students are required to submit assessments by the due date, as defined above and Danford College will not accept the assessment after the due date unless an extension of time for submitting the assessment has been approved by the Academic Team Leader or Delegate.

The student can request for an extension either by consulting with their Trainer/Assessor and/or in writing by sending an email to support@danford.edu.au If the extension is granted, the Academic Team Leader will lodge it in the student diary on RTO Manager and the Trainer/Assessor will inform the student via email.

5.3 Students at Risk:

Danford College has a process to monitor the course progress of all the enrolled students. In accordance with the POL008_Course Completion and Course Progress Policy, the course progress officer will inform the Academic Team Leader of any student (s) at risk (students who are behind with the course progress – below 50% of competency in a term).

Students will be provided with an Intervention Strategy once per course in order to maintain their course progress if they are deemed at risk.

If a student is behind with course progress for 2 consecutive terms, a second warning letter will be issued to the student followed by an Intent to Report Letter and may lead to the cancellation of their enrolment. In this case, Danford College is required to cancel their enrolment (eCoE) and to notify the Department of Home Affairs via PRISMS.

For more information please refer to POL008_Course Completion and Course Progress Policy

5.4 Assessment Review & Appeals

If a student is not satisfied with the assessment outcome provided by the Trainer/Assessor they may choose to initially discuss with the Trainer/Assessor within 14 working days of receiving the assessment outcome.

Where the grounds are established that the student was provided with an incorrect outcome, the student may be provided with a chance to take a re-assessment at no cost upon approval by the Academic Team Leader or delegate.

Where the Academic Team Leader believes that the outcome provided by the Trainer/Assessor is correct, there will be no change to the original outcome of the assessment.

If the student still believes the results provided are incorrect, the student may access Danford College's internal complaints and appeals process as outlined in section 6 of this policy.

6. Complaints and Appeals

All students enrolled at Danford College reserve the rights to access the internal complaints and appeal process at no cost should they be not satisfied with an outcome within 20 working days of receiving the outcome. The complaints and appeals form can be accessed via Danford College website <https://www.danford.edu.au/>.

In the event a student lodges an internal appeal:

- The student must remain at the College and comply with the student responsibilities during the internal appeal process;
- Danford College will not cancel the student enrolment while the internal appeal is in process.

If the student has exhausted the internal appeal process and is not satisfied with the outcome of the internal appeal process, the student has the right to appeal externally with the Commonwealth Ombudsman with minimal or no cost within 10 working days of

concluding the internal appeal. The student must inform Danford College in writing if they have appealed externally within 10 working days.

Below are the contact details for the Commonwealth Ombudsman:

GPO Box 442

Canberra ACT 2601

Email: ombudsman@ombudsman.gov.au

Tel: (in Australia): 1300 362 072 Tel: (outside Australia): +61 2 6276 0111

For more information please refer to Danford College's POL007_Complaints and Appeals Policy.

7. Associated Documents

- POL002_Validation & Moderation Policy
- POL015_Plagiarism Policy
- POL008_Course Progress and Course Completion Policy
- POL007_Complaints and appeals Policy
- **POL028_Learning & Assessment Strategies Policy.**

Appendix

Definitions

- 1) **Academic Misconduct:** A breach of rules in relation to impeding the integrity of the assessment/examination and enabling unfair advantage or deceiving the assessor.
- 2) **Assessment:** The process of collecting evidence and formulating a judgment as to whether competency has been achieved at a satisfactory level.
- 3) **Assessor Requirements:** All assessments are undertaken by suitable qualified Assessors who have both assessor competencies and vocational competencies at least to the level being assessed, and as prescribed in Standard 1 & Schedule 1 of the Standards for RTOs 2015.
- 4) **Competent:** A student is deemed Competent when they have satisfactorily met all the requirements of the unit of competency.
- 5) **Not yet competent:** A student is deemed Not yet competent when they have not met all the requirements of the unit of competency satisfactorily.
- 6) **Intervention Strategies:** A range of specialised teaching and learning strategies to facilitate learning for those students considered at risk.
- 7) **Moderation:** The process of establishing comparability in standards of student performance in order to ensure that assessment evidence collected is sufficient, valid, fair and reliable.
- 8) **Not Yet Competent:** A student is deemed as Not yet Competent when they have not met all the requirements of the unit of competency.
- 9) **Plagiarism:** Intellectual theft, either deliberate or unintentional, where a student uses or copies from another person's work or ideas, without due care to appropriately reference and acknowledge the original source.

10) Validation: The act of reviewing, comparing and evaluating the assessment processes, tools and evidence contributing to judgments made by a range of assessors against the same competency standards.

Principles of Assessment:

Fairness	The individual student's needs are considered in the assessment process. Where appropriate, reasonable adjustments are applied by the training provider to consider the individual student's needs. The training provider informs the student about the assessment process and provides them with the opportunity to challenge the result of assessment and be reassessed if necessary.
Flexibility	Assessment is flexible to the individual by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reflecting the student's needs • assessing competencies held by the student no matter how or where they have been acquired, and • drawing from a range of assessment methods and using those that are appropriate to the context, the unit of competency and associated assessment requirements, and the individual.
Validity	An assessment decision of the training provider is justified, based on the evidence of performance of the individual student. Validity requires: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment against the unit(s) of competency and the associated assessment requirements covers the broad range of skills and knowledge that are essential to competent performance • Assessment of knowledge and skills is integrated with the practical application • Assessment to be based on evidence that demonstrates that a student could demonstrate these skills and knowledge in other similar situations, and • Judgement of competence is based on evidence of student performance that is aligned to the unit(s) of competency and associated assessment requirements.
Reliability	Evidence presented for assessment is consistently interpreted and assessment results are comparable irrespective of the assessor conducting the assessment.

Rules of Evidence:

Validity	The assessor must be assured that the student has the skills, knowledge, and attributes as described in the unit of competency and associated assessment requirement.
Sufficiency	The assessment must be assured that the quality, quantity, and relevance of the assessment evidence enable a judgement to be made of a student's competency.

Authenticity	The assessor must be assured that the evidence presented for assessment is the student's own work.
Currency	The assessor must be assured that the assessment evidence demonstrates current competency. This requires the assessment evidence to be from the present or the very recent past.

End of Document