

POL015_Academic Integrity Policy

Table of Contents

1. Scope.....	3
2. Purpose	3
3. Types of Academic Misconduct	3
4. Prevention and detection of Academic Misconduct.....	4
4.1 Detection of Academic Misconduct.....	4
4.2 Student Obligations	5
4.3 Trainer and Assessor Responsibilities	6
5. Process for Handling Academic Misconduct.....	6
5.1 If Unintentional Academic Misconduct is detected.....	6
5.2 If intentional Academic Misconduct is detected	7
6. Complaints and Appeals	7
7. Associated Documents.....	8
Appendix	8
Definitions.....	8

1. Scope

Danford College students are expected to maintain honesty and integrity in all the academic work. The College's Academic Integrity Policy establishes a comprehensive framework to promote and implement these standards. The policy clearly defines academic misconduct and outlines the disciplinary procedures to prevent and detect the academic misconduct.

The Academic Integrity Policy is in accordance with:

- Standards for RTO 2025 – Outcome Standards for NVR: Standard 1.4.

This policy is applicable to but not limited to all current students studying at Danford College, Academic Department and Compliance Department.

2. Purpose

The purpose of the Academic Integrity Policy is designed to maintain the integrity and honesty of students' academic work. The policy aims to achieve the following objectives:

- Promote ethical and responsible academic integrity among students
- Outline clear guidelines on prevention of academic misconduct
- Clearly outline the student obligations and Danford College responsibilities in upholding the academic integrity
- Define disciplinary process to handle if any academic misconduct is suspected

3. Types of Academic Misconduct

Academic misconduct refers to any unethical or dishonest behaviour related to academic work. It can take various forms like plagiarism, cheating collusion, etc.

Plagiarism: Plagiarism is a form of cheating. It is taking and using someone else's thoughts, writings or inventions and representing them as your own; for example, using an author's words without putting them in quotation marks and referencing the source, using an author's ideas without proper acknowledgment and reference, copying another student's work.

Whether Plagiarism is **Intentional** (associated with an intent to deceive), or **Unintentional** (associated with a lack of understanding of plagiarism or a lack of skill in referencing and/or acknowledging others' work) it still constitutes as academic misconduct.

Examples of Plagiarism:

- Copying of another student's or author's exact sentences, paragraphs, or creative products (drawings, graphics) without clearly indicating that you are making a direct

quote and/or without giving a reference (includes copying from books, articles, thesis, unpublished works, working papers, seminar and conference papers, internal reports, internet, lecture notes or tapes);

- Using other's ideas/work by changing only a few words without clearly referencing the original source;
- Copying computer files in whole or in part without indicating their origin;
- Copying and submitting another student's work, wholly or partially, by changing variable names in computer programs.

Collusion: Unauthorised collaboration on assessable work with another person or persons. For example, if two or more students agree to plagiarise in some way, this is considered to be colluding. It can also occur if two or more students work together on an assignment that they have been instructed to complete individually for assessment.

Cheating: Cheating involves any behaviour by students in relation to any item of assessment which falsely represents the student's effort or performance on the assessment. The act of cheating, whether it is intentional or unintentional, is an act of intellectual dishonesty. Students are expected at all times to act honestly when fulfilling their assessment requirements.

Examples of Collusion and cheating:

- Borrowing a classmate's assignment for the purpose of modifying it and presenting it as your own work;
- Allowing another student to look at your assignment so that they can copy it;
- Using calculators or dictionaries in exams when you have been informed that they are not allowed;
- Whispering answers to another student during a test/assessment;
- Submitting work as your own, which has been written for you by another person
- Copying and submitting another person's work as if it were your own.

4. Prevention and detection of Academic Misconduct

Maintaining Academic Integrity is a student obligation and to ensure the integrity is maintained, Danford College ensures all the students are informed regarding this Academic Integrity Policy.

Information regarding academic misconduct, its prevention and the consequences if academic misconduct is detected is provided to students during Orientation and Induction sessions prior to their course commencement, in Student Handbook, on Danford College website and on CANVAS.

Additionally, the Trainer and Assessor also emphasises on the Academic Integrity to the students throughout their course duration.

4.1 Detection of Academic Misconduct

Assessments submitted via CANVAS:

Danford College uses Turnitin Software which is integrated with CANVAS to detect plagiarism for all the online assessments submitted by the students.

All Trainers and Assessors while assessing students' work, use Turnitin to obtain an originality report, which will reveal the percentage of material plagiarised (against any online resources or copied from other students' work) or inappropriately cited in the assessment.

The acceptable plagiarism percentage is 20% unless if the plagiarism is matching with the assessment template provided by the Trainer and Assessor

Since Turnitin cannot detect plagiarism in text submitted as images, assessments containing screenshots of text will not be accepted unless otherwise advised by the Trainer/Assessor.

In addition to identifying plagiarism, Turnitin can also detect text generated by Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools. While students may use Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools for assistance, directly copying and pasting AI-generated content will be considered as academic misconduct. The maximum acceptable percentage of AI-generated text is 50% in a submitted assessment. Any student work exceeding this limit will be deemed cheating and will be subject to the disciplinary process outlined in section 5 of this policy.

Additionally, the Trainer/Assessor must use their professional judgement when determining the amount or extent of actual plagiarised material in an assessment. The Trainer/Assessor must provide a valid justification for marking an assessment as satisfactory that contains a high level of plagiarism submitted by the student.

All students studying at Danford College can check their own work for plagiarism using Turnitin and make appropriate amendments prior to submitting their assessments via CANVAS. For more information refer to RES_018_Submitting a Turnitin Assignment via CANVAS available on Danford College website www.danford.edu.au

Assessments submitted on paper:

Assessments submitted on paper (mainly knowledge assessments) are completed by the students under in presence of a trainer/assessor. While submitting the assessment, the student also submits an assessment cover summary sheet confirming it is their own work.

While assessing these assessments, Trainers/Assessors use their professional judgement to identify if the work submitted by the student meets the academic integrity standards.

4.2 Student Obligations

Students are required to:

- Be aware and comply with the College's Academic Integrity Policy in relation to plagiarism, collusion and cheating;
- Take necessary actions to minimise academic misconduct such as; writing assessment responses using their own words; referencing assessment responses;
- For assessments submitted on paper (mainly knowledge assessments) submit the assessments with assessment cover sheet at front to confirm it is their own assessment/work;

- For assessments submitted via CANVAS, use Turnitin to determine if any possible plagiarism and amend their work prior to submitting the assessment;
- Reference all assignments for submission appropriately as per the RES015_Guidelines for referencing available on Danford College website www.danford.edu.au and on CANVAS;
- Seek advice and support from their Trainer and Assessor, student support staff and the student counsellor, as required.

4.3 Trainer and Assessor Responsibilities

- Regularly inform students of their obligations regarding the submission of assessments, breaches of academic integrity and possible penalties;
- Arrange support services for students such as consultation sessions to assist students achieve competency;
- Apply this Academic Integrity Policy while assessing students' assessments;
- Ensure the knowledge assessments are submitted with the assessment cover sheet
- Investigate suspected academic misconduct;
- Record academic misconduct and action, the detected plagiarism as outlined in section 5 of this policy;
- Counsel students and consult with the Academic Team Leader to determine the appropriate disciplinary action to be taken when academic misconduct occurs

5. Process for Handling Academic Misconduct

Where academic misconduct is suspected by the Trainer/Assessor, the Trainer/Assessor must investigate and identify if the academic misconduct is intentional or unintentional based on their professional judgement and as outlined in section 4.1 of this policy.

An indication that suspected academic misconduct is unintentional:

- The student is new to Danford College and has not received any previous warning/s;
- A negligible amount has been plagiarised;
- The student did not reference their assessment appropriately.

An indication that suspected academic misconduct is intentional:

- The student has received previous written warning/s of academic misconduct
- A significant percentage of their assessment is plagiarised as detected by Turnitin

5.1 If Unintentional Academic Misconduct is detected

For the first time - the Trainer/Assessor must:

- Give a verbal warning to the student
- Deem the assessment as Not Yet Satisfactory (NYS) – student follows the re-assessment cycle as defined in POL006_Assessment Policy

- Lodge the communication in student diary on RTO Manager.

5.2 If intentional Academic Misconduct is detected

- The Trainer/Assessor must:
 - Deem the assessment as Not Yet Satisfactory (NYS)
 - Enter the identified academic misconduct in the RES020_Academic Misconduct Register and fill the RES019_Academic Misconduct Report
- The Academic Team Leader must send a warning letter to the student and advise the Trainer and Assessor of the resolution of either resubmission or re-assessment in accordance with the POL006_Assessment Policy
- If the academic misconduct for the same assessment is identified again, it will be deemed as NYS.
- If the student receives more than 3 warning letters, their enrolment with Danford College may be cancelled in accordance with the POL009_Deferment, Suspension or Cancellation of student enrolment Policy and POL011_Student Code of Conduct Policy

Record Keeping:

All the verbal warnings and information regarding the meetings conducted must be recorded in the student diary on RTO Manager.

All warning letters must be sent via RTO Manager and saved in the student folder in SharePoint.

All Academic Misconduct Reports must be saved in the student folder in hard copy and a scanned copy must be saved while archiving the student folder.

6. Complaints and Appeals

If a student unsatisfied with the outcome of the allegation imposed on them regarding the academic misconduct, they have the right to access Danford College's complaints and appeals process at no cost within 20 working days of receiving the outcome.

In the event a student lodges an internal appeal:

- The student must remain at the College and comply with the student responsibilities as outlined in their letter of offer during the internal appeal process;
- Danford College will not cancel the student enrolment while the internal appeal is in process.

If the student has exhausted the internal appeal process and is not satisfied with the outcome of the internal appeal process, the student has the right to appeal externally with the Commonwealth Ombudsman with minimal or no cost within 10 working days of concluding the internal appeal. The student must inform Danford College in writing if they have appealed externally within 10 working days.

If the external appeal outcome is in favor of the student, Danford College will implement the decision or recommendation and/or take the preventive or corrective action required by the decision and will advise the student in writing of the action.

Below are the contact details for the Commonwealth Ombudsman:

GPO Box 442

Canberra ACT 2601

Email: ombudsman@ombudsman.gov.au

Tel: (in Australia): 1300 362 072 Tel: (outside Australia): +61 2 6276 0111

For more information please refer to Danford College's POL007_Complaints and Appeals Policy

7. Associated Documents

- POL006_Assessment Policy
- POL009_Deferment, Suspension or Cancellation of Student Enrolment Policy
- POL011_Student Code of Conduct Policy
- RES015_Guidelines for referencing
- RE019_Academic Misconduct Report
- RES020_Academic Misconduct Register
- RES024_Danford College_Student Handbook
- Associated warning letters

Appendix

Definitions

- 1) **CANVAS:** CANVAS is an online study management system used by Danford College. CANVAS is used by the students to access course materials, submit their assessments and check their result, while the trainers and assessors use CANVAS to mark and provide feedback on the assessments submitted by the students.
- 2) **RTO Manager:** RTO Manager is a College Management system used by Danford College for day – to – day operations to access and maintain portals for students, trainers, agents, etc.
- 3) **Turnitin:** Turnitin is a plagiarism detection software that scans student work for matched text by comparing the work, publications, and materials on the internet.

End of Document